

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Yates
Given Name	David
Person ID	1285775
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Yates
Given Name	David
Person ID	1285775
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Not competent to judge if legally compliant etc.
Family Name	Yates
Given Name	David
Person ID	1285775
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	1. Meet our housing need 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Yates
Given Name	David
Person ID	1285775
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>I support this proposal.</p> <p>Paragraph 4 mentions the development of Public Rights of Way throughout the site. This is to be welcomed.</p> <p>When the A 580 was built it bisected the PRow Worsley 30. It would be useful to improve that remaining portion which goes north from the site up to Manchester Road in Wardley. This would further improve Active Travel choices.</p>
Family Name	Yates
Given Name	David
Person ID	1285775
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>This is an important green space and will "crowd in" the now open RHS site. The RHS is specifically working towards being more socially inclusive (I'm a Life Member and I agree with this policy). It chose Salford to further that aim - to reach out to a wider demographic.</p> <p>Your proposal to build high spec housing for the affluent adjacent to their new national garden will not help their mission. I strongly oppose this proposal.</p>
Family Name	Yates
Given Name	David
Person ID	1285775
Title	JPA 28: North of Irlam Station
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>This is too ambitious and an overdevelopment of a sensitive site. Trains calling at Irlam station cannot absorb more passengers without very major infrastructure enhancements - which are not mentioned.</p> <p>The main problem with Irlam is it being a corridor squeezed between the Ship Canal and Chat Moss. One partial solution would be to open the Cadishead Viaduct and the old railway line to Trafford as proposed by the Hamilton Davies Trust. Only then should extensive housing development be considered.</p>
Family Name	Yates
Given Name	David
Person ID	1285775
Title	JPA 29: Port Salford Extension
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Whilst the concept is good, this is too big a take of farmland.</p> <p>The infrastructure South of the A57 has not been developed as planned (it wasn't supposed to open until the railway line was built) and the much heralded use of</p> <p>the industrial units by trains, not lorries has come to nought.</p> <p>It is very likely that this expansion would further blight Barton, once a pleasant rural area.</p> <p>Any development should be limited to the South and West of Tunnel Farm.</p>
Family Name	Yates
Given Name	David
Person ID	1285775
Title	Salford - Green Belt Additions
Type	Web
GBA Salford - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below	<p>Salford GBA26 Land South East of Slack Brook Open Space</p> <p>Salford GBA27 West Salford Greenway</p> <p>Salford GBA28 Part of Logistics North Country Park</p> <p>Salford GBA29 Land West of Burgess Farm</p> <p>Salford GBA30 Blackleach Country Park</p>
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	<p>All good ideas. I particularly like the proposal to extend the West Salford Greenway.</p>

**you have identified
above.**